



TITLE:

PLANKTON INVESTIGATION IN INLET
WATERS ALONG THE COAST OF JAPAN. -I.
INTRODUCTORY NOTES AND THE
PLANKTON OF AKKESHI BAY, HANASAKI
INLET AND NEMURO HARBOUR-

AUTHOR(S):

Yamazi, Isamu

CITATION:

Yamazi, Isamu. PLANKTON INVESTIGATION IN INLET WATERS ALONG THE COAST OF JAPAN. -I. INTRODUCTORY NOTES AND THE PLANKTON OF AKKESHI BAY, HANASAKI INLET AND NEMURO HARBOUR-. PUBLICATIONS OF THE SETO MARINE BIOLOGICAL LABORATORY 1950, 1(3): 93-113

ISSUE DATE:

1950-10-05

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/174439>

RIGHT:

PLANKTON INVESTIGATION IN INLET WATERS ALONG THE COAST OF JAPAN

I. INTRODUCTORY NOTES AND THE PLANKTON OF AKKESHI BAY, HANASAKI INLET AND NEMURO HARBOUR*

ISAMU YAMAZI

With 14 Text-figures

I. Introductory Notes

With a purpose to establish plankton community-types of bays and inlets along the coast of Japan in relation to their hydrological conditions, the writer has engaged these several years in collecting plankton samples whenever he had a chance to join the oceanographical surveys planned mostly by Prof. D. MIYADI, to whom the writer is indebted for facilities and useful advices.

The writer is also indebted to Dr. T. TOKIOKA for the help in identifying species and in preparing the manuscript, to Mr. T. HABE, Mr. K. MOTOZIMA and many other friends in the Zoological Institute of Kyoto University for much assistance during the works on the sea and for admitting him, to quote their hydrological data in this paper. This investigation was carried out by the aid from the Ministry of Education.

Method of collecting the material: In the quantitative investigation of plankton, there may be three sources of errors: (1) in locating the stations, (2) in sampling and (3) in counting and measuring the samples. As our laboratory equipments are not sufficient for an accurate measurement of plankton, I preferred the way to find out general tendencies prevailing in bay waters by examining as many samples as I could collect in the field. The samples were collected in the following two ways: (1) vertical hauls by HENSEN's net whose diameter of the mouth was 20 cm, that of the largest part 50 cm and spread with No. 13 silk gauze (14641 meshes per square inch), (2) 10 liters of the surface water was drawn up by a bucket to be filtered in a small net which was spread with No. 20 silk gauze, and provided with a small reservoir having a cock at its bottom.

Quantitative method of examining the material: The volume of each sample excluding larger animal plankters, such as medusae, salpae, etc., was read by a

*Contributions from the Seto Marine Biological Laboratory, No. 145.

measuring cylinder after the settling of 24 hours. The settled plankton was then diluted with water of 10 cc, 20 cc, or 30 cc, etc. respectively, according to the volume of plankton. 1 cc of this diluted sample was poured into a chamber with a brass frame of 40 mm long \times 25 mm wide \times 1 mm thick on the slide glass sectioned into 1 mm squares. To avoid too much efforts of seeing throughout the whole slide the counts were made in several transverse rows of squares.




Fig. 1. Map of Akkeshi Bay and Akkeshi Lake showing stations and isobaths.

Hydrological observations: The water temperature was measured by a reversing thermometer, the water color by FOREL's scale and the transparency by SECCHI's disc with 30 cm diameter. The salinity was calculated from chlorinity determined by titration degree being computed from FOX's table. Phosphates (P_2O_5) were estimated by ATKINS' modification of DENIGÈS' method,¹⁾ silicates (SiO_2) by ATKINS' modifica-

¹⁾ ATKINS, W. R. G. 1923. The phosphate content of fresh and salt waters in its relationship to the growth of the algal plankton. Journ. Marine Biol. Assoc. vol. 13, pp. 119-150.

tion of DIÉNERT and WANDENBULCKE's method¹⁾ and the hydrogen-ion concentration was determined colorimetrically using thymolblue as the indicator.

II. Plankton of Akkeshi Bay in August, 1948

A series of hydrological, planktological and benthological surveys were carried out by Mr. T. HABE and the writer along the southern coast of Hokkaido during the period from the 26th of August to the 5th of September, 1948. The followings are the planktological results obtained by us in the Akkeshi Bay and the Akkeshi Lake, where the survey was performed in some detail. Before going further, we wish to express our hearty thanks to the members of the Akkeshi Marine Biological Station for their kind help offered us during our works.

Akkeshi Bay lies on the southeastern coast of Hokkaido and has roughly a round shape. It is separated from the Pacific Ocean southwestwards by the cape of Shiriba-saki and southeastwards by reef with two islets, Daikoku-shima and Koshima, on it. It is connected at its northern end with a shallow lagoon named Akkeshi Lake by a narrow, about 700 m wide, channel. The bay is the deepest at its mouth, where it measures ca. 30 m (Fig. 1). The lake is less than 2 m deep, and the bottom with less depth than 1 m is covered densely with *Zostera marina* L., while the region adjacent to the narrow mouth forms a remarkable bed of *Ostrea gigas* THUNBERG. Two rivers, Bekanbeushi and Oboro, which drain the marsh and moorland along the coast, supply humous water to the lake at its northwestern end. For the hydrological conditions of this lake, the readers are referred to INUKAI and NISHIO (1937).²⁾

Owing to the inflow of humous water the lake water had yellowish brown color, small transparency, low salinity, lower values of pH and saturation degree of dissolved oxygen and higher values of phosphates and silicates. The influent waters flows out of the bay along its southwestern coast as shown clearly in the hydrological data (Tables 1 & 2, Figs. 2-4). The surface water temperature of the bay ranged from 18.5° to 21.5°C, the lowest record of 18.5°C was observed at the mouth part, and records higher than 21.0°C were found along the northwestern coast (Fig. 3).

A. Quantitative Analysis of Plankton

The settling volume of plankton (Fig. 5) was much larger in the southeastern part of the bay than in the northwestern part, and smallest in the lake.

¹⁾ ATKINS, W. R. G. 1923. The silica content of some natural waters and of culture media. Journ. Marine Biol. Assoc. vol. 13, pp. 151-159.

²⁾ INUKAI, T. and NISHIO, S. 1937. A limnological study of Akkeshi Lake with special reference to the propagation of the oyster. Jour. Fac. Agr., Hokkaido Imp. Univ., Sapporo, Vol. 40, pp. 1-33.

Table 1. Hydrological condition of Akkeshi Bay.

Station Numbers	Date	Air temp. (°C)	Water temp. (°C)	Mud temp. (°C)	Depth (m)	Trans- parency (m)	Water Color	pH (surface)	Settling volume of plankton (cc/10L)
1	26. 9.40	24.0	19.0	—	30	5.5	8	8.3	0.27
2	10.10	—	—	—	20	7.3	9	—	0.25
3	10.20	—	19.3	—	18	6.0	9	8.3	0.17
4	10.30	—	20.3	—	17	5.0	9	8.4	0.09
5	10.35	—	20.6	—	9	3.9	10	8.3	0.10
6	10.50	—	21.3	—	11	3.5	10	8.3	0.03
7	11.00	—	21.4	—	15	4.5	10	8.3	0.02
8	11.15	—	19.4	—	20	5.0	10	8.3	0.05
9	11.25	—	19.7	—	23	6.0	9	8.4	0.29
10	11.41	—	19.3	—	25	8.0	8	8.4	0.36
11	13.20	26.0	18.6	—	20	5.0	9	8.3	0.27
12	13.30	26.0	18.6	—	23	7.0	9	8.3	0.62
13	13.45	23.0	19.4	—	21	7.0	9	8.3	0.91
14	14.05	—	20.9	—	19	6.5	9	8.3	0.27
15	14.17	—	20.4	—	19	4.0	9	8.3	0.24
16	14.30	24.0	21.1	—	14	3.0	11	8.35	0.05
17	14.40	—	21.8	—	11	3.5	11	8.4	0.07
18	14.50	25.8	21.8	—	12	3.0	10	8.4	0.03
19	15.00	24.8	21.6	—	12	3.0	11	8.35	0.04
20	15.07	—	21.2	—	15	2.5	11	8.3	0.02
21	15.20	24.8	20.5	—	16	4.0	10	8.3	0.13
22	15.30	23.0	20.2	16.3	17	5.0	10	8.35	0.34
23	15.40	23.5	19.7	16.5	15	6.5	9	8.35	0.26
24	15.55	23.8	19.7	16.2	14	7.0	9	8.3	0.21
25	27. 8.50	22.5	19.8	15.8	12	5.0	9	8.3	0.15
26	9.05	22.5	19.8	15.8	13	3.5	9	8.3	0.07
27	9.20	22.5	20.0	15.9	12	2.5	9	8.3	0.05
28	9.40	23.0	21.0	—	6	3.5	9	8.3	0.04
29	9.50	25.8	20.7	16.0	5	1.8	10	8.3	0.05
30	10.05	22.5	20.7	16.0	6	2.5	10	8.25	0.12
31	10.20	25.0	21.0	16.0	11	2.0	9	8.4	0.04
32	10.30	23.5	20.5	15.8	11	3.0	9	8.3	0.02
33	10.45	24.5	20.5	15.8	12	5.0	9	8.3	0.17
34	11.00	24.0	20.7	16.6	1	2.5	9	8.3	0.07
35	11.15	22.5	20.7	16.6	8	2.5	9	8.3	0.17
36	11.44	27.0	20.1	16.3	8	2.0	9	8.2	0.08
37	11.55	25.0	20.9	18.2	5	2.0	9	8.3	0.10
38	12.15	25.0	20.5	17.5	5	1.5	9	8.4	0.21
39	12.30	24.3	20.7	17.3	6	2.0	9	8.35	0.23

40	27.12.45	24.0	20.6	16.8	6	2.0	9	8.35	0.12
41	30. 9.45	—	21.5	20.5	3	1.5	9	8.2	0.04
42	10.05	22.5	17.5	18.5	1.5	1.5	10	8.1	0.05
43	10.45	—	17.2	18.0	—	—	10	8.1	0.05
44	11.45	—	17.6	18.0	2	—	10	8.2	0.02
45	11.00	—	17.3	18.0	3	1.2	10	8.1	0.04
46	11.15	—	17.5	18.0	2	1.0	10	8.1	0.04
47	11.30	—	17.2	18.0	2.5	1.0	10	8.1	0.04

Table 2. Chemical analysis of the water of Akkeshi Bay.

Station Numbers	Depth (m)	Water temp. (°C)	Salinity (‰)	Oxygen		pH	P ₂ O ₅ mg/m ³	SiO ₂ mg/m ³
				(cc/L)	(%)			
1	0	19.0	32.578	5.59	103.1	8.3	18.0	2100
	10	16.1	32.773	5.94	104.0	8.3	—	—
	20	15.6	33.080	5.81	101.0	8.3	—	—
	30	15.4	33.188	5.67	99.4	8.3	—	—
7	0	21.4	31.293	5.13	95.7	8.3	—	—
	10	15.9	32.846	5.61	97.7	8.3	—	—
13	0	18.6	32.538	5.99	109.7	8.3	17.10	1600
	10	16.3	32.080	5.98	103.0	8.3	—	—
	20	15.2	33.134	4.67	79.4	8.3	—	—
22	0	20.2	32.051	6.04	111.0	8.35	24.0	2650
25	0	19.8	31.636	5.79	103.7	8.3	—	—
	10	15.8	32.773	4.28	73.2	8.3	—	—
	12	15.6	32.809	3.51	59.8	8.3	—	—
28	0	21.0	31.293	5.51	102.2	8.3	—	—
30	0	20.7	31.076	5.61	103.5	8.25	—	—
	6	16.0	32.231	—	—	8.1	—	—
32	0	20.0	32.051	6.05	110.8	8.3	—	—
	35	0	20.7	31.112	5.72	105.5	8.3	31.7
	8	16.1	32.628	—	—	—	—	—
	41 (1)	0	21.5	30.318	5.43	101.3	8.3	44.7
(2)	0	17.3	28.838	4.31	73.6	8.2	—	—
42	0	17.5	26.925	4.63	79.6	8.1	—	—
43	0	17.2	30.625	4.54	79.9	8.1	—	—
44	0	17.6	30.115	5.57	98.8	8.2	59.6	4720
45	0	17.3	28.513	4.88	84.8	8.1	—	—
46	0	17.5	28.369	4.65	82.1	8.1	—	—
47	0	17.2	28.339	4.95	86.9	8.1	—	—




Fig. 2 Distribution of transparency during the survey.

Fig. 3. Isotherms of the surface water.

Fig. 4. Isohalines of the superficial layer.

Fig. 5. Distribution of the settling volume of plankton per 10 liters.

The population density of zooplankton was not parallel to the settling volume. Its densest population was found in the central and innermost part of the bay as well as in Akkeshi Lake, where the number of zooplankton reached 200–500 per 10 liters. The population was much smaller in other parts measuring only 25–50 per 10 liters as shown in Table 3 and Fig. 6. The numerical percentage of zooplankton in the total plankton ($Z/N \times 100$) is shown in Table 3 and Fig. 7, where Z is the number of zooplankton and N is that of the whole plankton. The value of Z/N was very small, less than 1%, in the southeastern part of the bay, where the settling volume was the largest but it increased gradually (ca. 2%) towards the inner parts of the bay and attained the maximum in the lake (about 20%).




Fig. 6. The relation between the settling volume (cc) of plankton and the number of individuals, cells or colonies (each per 10 liters).

The phytoplankton population was found densest at the southeastern and northern parts of the bay, where 10–24 thousands of cells or colonies were counted in 10 liters. Its distribution became thinner in the western part and was the thinnest in the lake. The percentage of phytoplankton ($P/N \times 100$) was greatest in the southeastern part of the bay and decreased towards the inner parts to reach the minimum in the lake. The area around Stations 12 and 13 seemed to be the centre of the phytoplankton vegetation in these days (Table 3 and Fig. 7).

When both settling volume ($V = \text{cc}/10 \text{ L}$) and number ($N = n/10 \text{ L}$) of plankton are projected on a graph, two lines should be parallel, if its composition is the same at all stations. In the present case (Fig. 6), V and N lines were nearly parallel in the southeastern and the northern parts of the bay, but they lost the parallelism in the western part and the lake. This fact teaches us the presence of the remarkable variation in the plankton composition in different parts of the bay, namely the uneven distribution of phyto- and zooplanktons.

B. Qualitative Analysis of Plankton

1. *Zooplankton*: As are shown in Table 3 and Fig. 8, zooplankton ratio in the whole plankton was far greater in the lake and the western half of the bay ($Z/N \times 100 = 0.6\text{--}19.4\%$) than in the eastern half ($Z/N \times 100 = 0.04\text{--}10.09\%$). The percentage composition of the main zooplankton groups (Figs. 9 and 10) was as follows: Copepoda (74%), Protozoa (22%), Rotifera (3%) and Copelata (1%). The copepods and protozoans were found in some prominent patches in the northeastern part as




Fig. 7. Relative abundance of zoo- and phytoplanktons.

Fig. 8. Ratio between the zoo- and phytoplanktons.

Fig. 9. Population of some zooplanktons.

Fig. 10. Percentage composition of zooplankton.

shown clearly in Fig. 9. Main part of the zooplankton was occupied by the copepods, which were distributed most abundantly in the northeastern part as well as in the lake, but avoided the area of dense population of phytoplankton. The Copepoda population consisted chiefly of juveniles of *Oithona nana*, which were found




Fig. 11. Cell or colony number of some diatom groups in the phytoplankton.

Fig. 12. Percentage composition of some diatom groups in the phytoplankton.

Fig. 13. Percentage composition of the copepod community.

Fig. 14. Percentage composition of *Chaetoceros*.

Table 3. Population and composition of plankton.

Z.....number of zooplankton, P.....number of phytoplankton, $N=Z+P$.

Region	Total number N/10 L.	Zooplankton		Phytoplankton*	
		Z/10 L.	Z/N×100	P/10 L.	P/N×100
Southeastern	147,720	100	0.07	147,620	99.93
Middle	98,160	490	0.50	97,670	99.50
Northern	62,060	240	0.39	61,850	99.76
Western	39,060	90	0.42	38,970	99.76
Akkeshi Lake	6,400	270	4.21	6,180	95.76

*The number of colony was counted in colony-forming species.

at most of the stations, although the adults were very sparse and distributed in a manner differing from that of the juveniles. *Paracalanus parvus*, the next prominent copepod, was found usually in adult form and distributed widely from the inner parts to the outer parts of the bay. No oceanic copepod was observed during this survey. Protozoans were represented by some species of Tintinninea, of which *Helicostomella fusiiformis*, *Favella ehrenbergi*, *Favella taraikaensis* and *Tintinnopsis radix* were the dominant components. Among rotifers were *Notholca bifurca* and *Synchaeta* sp., and Copelata was represented by *Oikopleura dioica*. Other zooplankters found in the material were as follows:

Species	Akkeshi Bay			Akkeshi Lake
	Southeastern	Northern	Western	
Tintinninea				
<i>Tiarina fusus</i>		+		
<i>Tintinnopsis beroidea</i>		+	+	
<i>Tintinnopsis radix</i>	+	+	+	
<i>Coxhella ampla</i>		+		
<i>Helicostomella fusiiformis</i>	+	+	+	
<i>Favella ehrenbergi</i>	+	+	+	+
<i>Favella taraikaensis</i>	+	+	+	+
<i>Parafavella gigantea</i>	+			
<i>Tintinnus rectus</i>	+	+	+	+
<i>Tintinnus turris</i>	+	+	+	
<i>Undulla californiensis</i>		+	+	
Copepoda				
<i>Microsetella norvegica</i>	+	+	+	
<i>Euterope acutifrons</i>		+	+	

Cladocera				
<i>Evadne nordmanni</i>	+	+	+	
<i>Podon leuckarti</i>	+			
Larvae				
Polychaeta larva		+	+	+
Pelecypoda larva	+	+	+	+
Gastropoda larva	+	+	+	+
Bipinnaria larva	+	+	+	+
Echinopluteus larva	+			

2. *Phytoplankton*: In contrast to the zooplankton, the phytoplankton ratio was the largest in the southeastern part of the bay (Table 3 and Fig. 8). Throughout the whole stations percentage composition of diatoms was as follows: *Chaetoceros* occupied 75.6%, *Skeletonema* 11.5%, *Rhizosolenia* 4.5%, *Asterionella* 3.8%, *Bacteriastrium* 3.3% and *Nitzschia* 1.7% (Figs. 11 and 12).

The composition of phytoplankton varied slightly according to stations and some slight patch formations were noticed as in zooplankton (Table 4 and Figs. 12 and 14). *Chaetoceros*, as a whole, was abundant in the eastern half of the bay. While the distribution of *Ch. didymus*, *Ch. radicans* and *Ch. Lorenzianus* was chiefly restricted to the eastern region and was thinly populated in the western half, that of *Ch. compressus*, *Ch. affinis*, *Ch. laciniosus* and *Bacteriastrium delicatulum* was rather even, although they were very sparse. *Rhizosolenia Faerøensis*, *Asterionella japonica* and *Nitzschia longissima* were also widely distributed, but were more densely in the mouth part than in the inner part. *Skeletonema costatum* occurred most abund-

Species	Akkeshi Bay			Akkeshi Lake
	Southeastern	Northern	Western	
Dinoflagellata				
<i>Prorocentrum micans</i>	+	+	+	+
<i>Dinophysis ovum</i>		+	+	
<i>Goniaulax polygramma</i>	+	+	+	+
<i>Pyrophax horologicum</i>	+			
<i>Ceratium fusus</i>	+	+	+	+
<i>Ceratium furca</i>	+		+	
<i>Peridinium crassipes</i>	+	+	+	
<i>P. pellucidum</i>	+	+	+	+
<i>P. oceanicum</i> var. <i>oblongum</i>	+		+	

Diatoms				
<i>Melosira Borreri</i>	+	+	+	
<i>Paralia sulcata</i>	+			
<i>Stephanopyxis nipponica</i>	+	+	+	
<i>Thalassiosira hyalina</i>	+		+	
<i>Dactylosolen mediterraneus</i>	+			
<i>Coscinodiscus Asteromphalus</i>	+	+	+	
<i>Arachnoidiscus ornatus</i>	+		+	
<i>Chaetoceros decipiens</i>	+	+	+	+
<i>Chaetoceros criophilus</i>	+	+	+	
<i>Bacteriastrum hyalinum</i>	+	+	+	+
<i>Corethron hystrix</i>	+	+	+	
<i>Biddulphia reticulata</i> var.	+	+		+
<i>Bid. aurita</i>	+	+	+	
<i>Triceratium</i> sp.		+		
<i>Hemiaulus Hauckii</i>	+	+	+	+
<i>Ditylum Brightwellii</i>	+	+	+	+
<i>Climacodiam biconcavum</i>	+			
<i>Cerataulina</i> sp.		+	+	
<i>Rhizosolenia setigera</i>	+		+	
<i>Rh. hebetata</i> forma <i>semispina</i>	+	+	+	
<i>Rh. alata</i> forma <i>genuina</i>	+	+	+	+
<i>Rhabdonema adriaticum</i>		+		+
<i>Thalassiothrix Frauenfeldii</i>	+	+	+	+
<i>Thalassionema Nitzschoides</i>		+	+	+
<i>Cocconeis Scutellum</i>		+	+	+
<i>Pleurosigma intermedia</i>	+	+	+	
<i>Pleurosigma</i> sp.	+		+	
<i>Nitzschia seriata</i>	+	+	+	+
<i>Nit. longissima</i> var. <i>Reversa</i>	+	+	+	+
<i>Surirella</i> sp.		+		+
<i>Amphora</i> sp.		+		+

antly in the innermost part of the bay.

Among dinoflagellates, habitat segregation was noticed between *Ceratium fusus* and *C. furca* which were sparsely distributed in the mouth part, and *Peridinium pellucidum* and *P. crassipes* which were the inhabitants of the northern half of the bay. Other phytoplankters found in the material are listed above (pp. 103-104).

3. *Number of species*: Table 4 shows the number of species at each station. Most of the species are of pelagic life, although a few littoral diatoms and animals are counted as tychoplankton. The number of species was greatest in the south-eastern region, and decreasing gradually towards the inner part of the bay reached the least value in Akkeshi Lake. The western region is less in population.

Table 4. Number of species occurring at each station.

Station Species number	Southeastern Region														Northern Region							
	1	2	9	12	13	14	23	11	22	21	24	25	33	32	34	35	40	41	39			
(Z)	19	20	15	18	19	16	14	20	14	19	19	18	15	14	12	14	13	12	15			
(P)	27	28	24	24	26	19	24	25	21	27	18	16	18	15	18	18	18	24	17			
Total	46	48	39	42	45	35	38	45	35	46	37	34	33	29	30	32	31	36	32			
Mean	41														31							
Total species throughout the stations							(83)							(61)								
	Western Region														Akkeshi Lake						Total	
38 36 37	31	30	29	28	26	18	19	17	16	20	15	6	7	4	3	42	43	44	45	46	47	species
16 12 11	11	14	15	9	14	19	15	15	16	16	14	13	11	13	19	8	10	9	8	6	9	30
18 15 14	14	15	12	11	18	8	8	7	18	14	15	14	21	27	28	16	18	14	16	14	12	49
34 27 25	25	29	27	20	32	16	23	32	34	30	29	27	32	40	47	24	28	23	24	20	21	79
	30														23							
	(74)														(42)							

C. General Considerations

As is obvious from the hydrological observations previously mentioned, the humous water characterized by low salinity and small transparency is shed out of Akkeshi Lake into the bay and flows southwards along the western coast. Contrarily, the oceanic water of lower temperature flows from the mouth towards the northwestern part of the bay. The diatoms, which constituted the main part of the phytoplankton in the present material, seemed to be drifted into the bay with the oceanic water flourish prodigiously in the mingling area of both the oceanic and humous waters.

The presence of *Rhizosolenia Faeröensis* may be taken as a proof that the cold current "Oyashio" is affecting considerably the water climate of the bay. *Nitzschia longissima* is a littoral tychoplanktonic diatom rarely to be found in the pure oceanic water.

The animal plankters, on the other hand, seemed to propagate in the contaminated water and are drifted away into the oceanic water. The more important zooplankters are *Oithona nana* juveniles *Notholca bifurca*, *Synchaeta* sp., *Peridinium pellucidum* and several Tintinninoinea ciliates.

III. Plankton of Hanasaki Inlet in August, 1948

Hanasaki Inlet lies to the east of Akkeshi Bay on the southeastern coast of Hokkaido. It is a small anchorage for fishing-boats and has a pier near its mouth. The field work of this inlet was carried out on the 29th of August.

A. Quantitative Analysis of Plankton

The settling volume and the population of both phyto- and zooplankton (Table 1) were considerably larger in the inmost part than in the pier region. The zooplankton population in the inmost part was about 750 per 10 liters in contrast to about 500 in the mouth part. The numerical percentage of zooplankton in the total plankton ($Z/N \times 100$) were very small, being less than 0.5% in all stations. The phytoplankton occupied somewhat larger percentage in the interior region than in the pier region. As a natural result of the similarity of plankton composition at all stations, the settling volume ($V = cc/10\text{ L}$) and the number ($N = n/10\text{ L}$) varied in parallel relation.

Table 1. Population and composition of plankton. Z..... number of zooplankton, P.....number of phytoplankton, $N = Z + P$.

Region	Total number	Zooplankton		Phytoplankton*		Settling volume of plankton cc/10 L.
		Z/10 L.	Z/N \times 100	P/10 L.	P/N \times 100	
Pier region	100,107	494	0.49	99,613	99.51	0.75
Inmost region	137,764	751	0.55	137,013	99.45	0.82

*The number of colony was counted in colony-forming species.

In comparison to Akkeshi Bay, where the most prodigious development of phytoplankton occurred in its central region and the percentage composition of zooplankton grew larger towards the interior, the phytoplankton percentage in Hanasaki Inlet was the largest in the inmost region. This fact may be correlated to the smallness of Hanasaki Inlet where the influence of the open sea water reaches its inmost region. In my opinion the phytoplankton development is stimulated at the mingling zone of both bay and open sea waters.

Table 2. Number per ten liters and percentage composition of zooplankton.

Species	Pier region		Inmost region	
	N	%	N	%
Protozoa	239	48.39	119	15.86
<i>Favella ehrenbergi</i>	10	4.18	4	3.36
<i>F. taraikaensis</i>	225	94.14	106	89.08
<i>Tintinus tubulosus</i>	3	1.25	7	5.88
<i>Parafavella denticulata</i>	1	0.41	2	1.68
Rotifera	8	1.62	28	3.72
<i>Synchaeta</i> sp.	8	100.00	28	100.00
Copepoda	216	43.73	540	71.92
<i>Acartia clausi</i>	4	1.85	—	—
<i>Oithona nana</i>	10	4.62	78	14.44
<i>Paracalanus parvus</i>	21	9.74	4	0.44
Copepoda juv.	181	83.79	458	84.82
Cladocera	14	2.83	48	6.39
<i>Evadne nordmanni</i>	14	100.00	48	100.00
Copepoda	3	0.60	3	0.39
<i>Oikopleura dioica</i>	3	100.00	3	100.00
Larva	5	1.01	11	1.46
Polychaeta larva	3	60.00	9	81.82
Gastropoda larva	2	40.00	2	18.18
Coelenterata	9	1.82	2	0.26
<i>Bougainvillia</i> sp.	9	100.00	2	100.00

B. Qualitative Analysis of Plankton

The more important species among zooplankters were Copepoda (60.4%), Protozoa (28.7%), Cladocera (5.0%), Copepoda (0.5%) and others (2.2%). The adults of copepods were distributed in the more interior part of the inlet than their juveniles. The population of *Oithona nana* grew larger toward the interior in contrast to *Paracalanus parvus* which was more abundant in the pier region. *Acartia clausi* was restricted to the pier region. Protozoans were represented as in Akkeshi Bay by Tintinuinea, among which were *Favella taraikaensis*, *F. ehrenbergi* and some other species, Rotifera by *Synchaeta* sp. which was also found in the Akkeshi Bay, and Copepoda by *Oikopleura dioica* (Table 2).

Other zooplankters found in the samples are listed as follows:

Species	Pier region	Inmost region
<i>Tintinnus rectus</i>	+	+
<i>Tin. tubulosus</i>	+	+
<i>Parafavella gigantea</i>	+	+
Pelecypoda larva	+	+
Echinopluteus larva	+	

The phytoplankton ratio was larger in the interior region than in the mouth part (Table 3). Among phytoplankters, *Chaetoceros* and *Bacteriastrum* were abundant in the interior region, though both of them appeared at all stations. Other diatoms,

Table 3. Cell or colony number per ten liters and percentage composition of phytoplankton.

Species	Pier region		Inmost region	
	N	%	N	%
Dinoflagellata	77	0.07	120	0.08
<i>Dinophysis intermedia</i>	2	2.59	4	3.33
<i>Peridinium crassipes</i>	8	10.35	25	20.83
<i>P. pellucidum</i>	58	75.32	79	65.84
<i>P. oceanicum</i> var. <i>oblongum</i>	9	11.68	12	10.00
<i>Skeletonema costatum</i>	20,196	20.27	3,942	2.68
<i>Bacteriastrum delicatulum</i>	3,445	3.46	5,825	3.96
<i>Chaetoceros</i>	69,145	67.43	117,240	79.74
<i>Ch. compressus</i>	11,325	16.37	17,182	14.65
<i>Ch. radians</i>	35,860	51.86	65,202	55.61
<i>Ch. didymus</i>	2,315	3.35	5,499	4.69
<i>Ch. affinis</i>	8,365	12.09	16,566	14.13
<i>Ch. laciniosus</i>	11,280	16.33	12,791	10.92
<i>Coscinodiscus Asteromphalus</i>	748	0.76	1,490	1.02
<i>Nitzschia longissima</i> var. <i>Reversa</i>	488	0.48	311	0.21
<i>Nitz. seriata</i>	188	0.18	211	0.14
<i>Asterionella japonica</i>	5,326	5.35	17,910	12.17

except *Skeletonema*, and dinoflagellates, such as *Peridinium pellucidum*, occurred rather widely in the inner region.

Following is the list of other phytoplankters :

Species	Pier region	Inmost region
<i>Prorocentrum micans</i>	+	+
<i>Pyrophax horologicum</i>		+
<i>Goniaulax polygramma</i>	+	
<i>Paralia sulcata</i>	+	
<i>Thalassiosira hyalina</i>		+
<i>Coscinodiscus Janischi</i>	+	
<i>Chaetoceros decipiens</i>	+	+
<i>Biddulphia reticulata</i>	+	
<i>Hemiaulus Hauckii</i>	+	+
<i>Ditylum Brightwellii</i>	+	
<i>Triceratium americanum</i>		+
<i>Rhizosolenia setigera</i>	+	
<i>Rh. Faerøensis</i>	+	+
<i>Rh. alata</i> forma <i>genuina</i>	+	+
<i>Rhabdonema adriaticum</i>		+
<i>Pleurosigma</i> sp.		+
<i>Thalassionema Nitzschioides</i>	+	+

The species composition of the plankton at all stations was less than that of the mouth part of Akkeshi Bay (Tab. 4).

Table 4. Number of species occurring at each station.

Species number	Pier region	Inmost region	Total species
(Z)	19	16	21
(P)	26	24	30
Total	45	40	51

IV. Plankton of Nemuro Harbour in August, 1948

Nemuro Harbour is situated at the southeastern end of Hokkaido, being placed not far from Hanasaki Inlet. This harbour is also a small indentation and protected from the open sea by breakwaters. The field study was made on the 28th of August. The hydrological conditions of the harbour are shown in Table 1. The surface water temperature was about 18.2°C at the mouth. The temperature records at all strata were slightly higher in the interior region than in the mouth part. The salinity was usually above 32.37‰. The saturation degree of oxygen was 92.8% in the mouth part and 73.9—86.5% in the interior. The results on the observation

of water color, transparency and pH are shown in Table 1. The contents of phosphate and silicate were higher in the inner region than in the mouth part.

Table 1. Hydrological condition of Nemuro Bay.

Station	Air temp. °C	Water temp. °C	Trans- parency m	Water color	pH	Salinity ‰	Oxygen		P ₂ O ₅ mg/m ³	SiO ₂ mg/m ³
							cc/L.	%		
mouth part	16.5	18.2	6.2	7	8.2	32.61	5.20	92.8	15.0	2800
middle part	16.6	18.5	5.4	7	8.2	32.48	4.35	77.9	—	—
inmost part 1	16.6	18.5	4.0	8	8.2	32.43	4.11	73.9	27.3	2400
" 2	16.6	18.5	4.0	8	8.2	32.37	4.81	86.5	—	—

A. Quantitative Analysis of Plankton

The settling volume of plankton was much larger in the mouth part than in the interior. The zooplankton population was the largest in the inmost part, being about 600 per 10 liters. The percentage composition of zooplankton is shown in Table 2. It was very small, less than 0.2% in the middle part, and increased slightly towards both the mouth of the harbour and the inmost region. The phytoplankton was the densest in the middle part and decreased towards the mouth part as well as the interior. The values of $P/N \times 100$ were larger than 99% at all stations, being slightly larger in the central region.

The settling volume and the population of plankton varied in nearly parallel relation at most of the stations except the central region (Table 2).

Table 2. Population and composition of plankton. Z..... number of zooplankton, P.....number of phytoplankton, $N = Z + P$.

Station	Total number	Zooplankton		Phytoplankton*		Settling volume cc/10 L.
		Z/10 L.	Z/N × 100	P/10 L.	P/N × 100	
mouth part	68,309	277	0.41	67,932	99.59	0.44
middle part	263,394	332	0.13	263,062	99.87	0.85
inmost part 1	81,537	589	0.72	80,948	99.23	0.47
" 2	68,308	523	0.77	67,785	99.23	0.40

*The number of colony was counted in colony-forming species.

B. Qualitative Analysis of Plankton

The important constituents among zooplankters were Copepoda (65-85%) and Protozoa (12-31%), of which the former had a wide distribution, but exhibited a tendency to increase towards the interior as we have noticed in Hanasaki Inlet (Table 3).

Table 3. Number per ten liters and percentage composition of zooplankton.

Species	Mouth part		Middle part		Inmost part 1		" 2	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Protozoa	36	12.99	97	29.23	183	31.08	80	15.31
<i>Favella ehrenbergi</i>	6	16.69	8	8.25	20	10.92	21	26.25
<i>F. tarakaensis</i>	25	69.44	85	87.62	157	85.79	48	60.00
<i>Tintinnopsis radix</i>	5	13.88	4	4.13	6	3.29	11	13.75
Copepoda	235	84.85	224	67.47	393	66.73	433	82.79
<i>Paracalanus parvus</i>	13	5.53	18	8.05	30	7.64	55	12.70
<i>Acartia clausi</i>	6	2.54	2	0.89	3	0.76	3	0.69
<i>Oithona nana</i>	22	9.36	40	17.86	86	21.87	21	4.84
<i>Oithona similis</i>	6	2.54	2	0.86	—	—	—	—
<i>Centropages abdominalis</i>	8	3.41	5	2.23	8	2.04	2	0.46
Copepods juveniles	180	76.59	157	70.08	266	67.69	353	81.31
Copelata	2	0.72	4	1.20	3	0.50	3	0.57
<i>Oikopleura dioica</i>	2	100.00	4	100.00	3	100.00	3	100.00
Larva	4	1.44	7	2.10	10	1.69	7	1.33
Polychaeta larva	4	100.00	7	100.00	10	100.00	7	100.00

The population of adult Copepoda, such as *Paracalanus parvus* and *Oithona nana* and their juveniles, chiefly of *O. nana*, was quite large in the interior region. Contrarily, *Acartia clausi* and *Centropages abdominalis* were very thinly distributed, though with an increasing tendency towards the mouth of the harbour. *Oithona similis* was less numerous and found from the central and mouth regions. Among Tintinnoinea, *Favella tarakaensis* was the most prominent, being found mainly in the central and interior region, and *F. ehrenbergi* and *Tintinnopsis radix* were also quite

Species	Mouth part	Middle part	Inmost part
<i>Helicostomella fusiformis</i>	+	+	+
<i>Coxiella ampula</i>	+	+	
<i>Tintinnus rectus</i>	+		
<i>Tin. turris</i>	+	+	+
<i>Undulla californiensis</i>	+	+	+
<i>Microsetella norvegica</i>	+	+	+
Echinopluteus larva	+		
Pelecypoda larva	+	+	+
Gastropoda larva	+	+	+

numerous in the interior region but decreasing towards the mouth. Copepoda, which was represented only by *Oikopleura dioica*, and the larvae of polychaetes and gastropods were sparsely distributed all over the sea. No Chaetognatha, Rotifera and oceanic species were observed. Other species found in the samples are listed in the bottom of p. 111.

The percentages of phytoplankton composition were *Chaetoceros* 86.6%, *Bacteriastrum* 4.8%, *Skeletonema* 3.3%, *Coscinodiscus* 3.3%, *Asterionella* 1.5% and Dinoflagellata less than 0.3%. The diatoms, as a whole, had their center of production at the central region and became less numerous towards the mouth and inmost region. A similar segregation of habitat as in copepods was observed in dinoflagellates between *Ceratium fusus* and *C. furca*, which ranged from the central to mouth region on the one hand, and *Peridinium pellucidum* and *P. crassipes* ranging from the central to inmost region on the other. The same phenomenon was noticed in the survey of Akkeshi Bay (Table 4).

Table 4. Cell or colony number per ten liters and percentage composition of phytoplankton.

Species	Mouth part		Middle part		Inmost part 1		" 2	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Dinoflagellata	161	0.24	1,055	0.41	411	0.55	347	0.52
<i>Dinophysis ovum</i>	2	1.24	3	0.22	2	0.45	2	0.57
<i>Ceratium fusus</i>	25	15.53	23	2.18	13	2.94	15	4.33
<i>C. furca</i>	4	2.48	7	0.50	3	0.71	9	2.59
<i>Peridinium crassipes</i>	25	15.53	93	8.83	32	7.25	23	6.62
<i>P. pellucidum</i>	99	61.49	835	79.34	368	83.44	287	82.72
<i>P. oceanicum</i> var. <i>oblongum</i>	6	3.73	94	8.93	23	5.21	11	3.17
<i>Skeletonema costatum</i>	2,992	4.40	16,564	6.29	3,740	4.62	2,240	3.30
<i>Bacteriastrum delicatulum</i>	2,349	3.46	6,730	2.55	3,890	4.80	3,230	4.76
<i>Chaetoceros</i>	60,349	88.84	235,171	89.37	70,069	86.57	59,259	86.61
<i>Ch. compressus</i>	8,910	14.96	88,020	37.40	35,650	50.87	15,215	25.67
<i>Ch. radicans</i>	14,900	24.68	48,752	20.43	15,699	22.40	20,935	35.32
<i>Ch. didymus</i>	1,590	2.66	2,985	1.26	2,310	3.29	1,432	2.41
<i>Ch. affinis</i>	31,250	51.78	86,499	36.80	12,755	18.22	15,690	26.47
<i>Ch. laciniosus</i>	3,699	6.12	8,915	3.79	3,655	5.22	5,987	10.13
<i>Coscinodiscus Asteromphalus</i>	996	1.46	1,992	0.75	1,244	1.33	1,668	3.28
<i>Nitzschia longissima</i> var. <i>Reversa</i>	42	0.06	35	0.01	25	0.03	21	0.03
<i>Asterionella japonica</i>	1,048	1.54	1,586	0.62	1,539	1.90	1,020	1.50

Other species found in the samples are listed as follows :

Species	Mouth part	Middle part	Inmost part
<i>Prorocentrum micans</i>	+	+	+
<i>Goniaulax polygramma</i>	+	+	
<i>Coscinodiscus gigas</i>		+	+
<i>Chaetoceros decipiens</i>	+	+	+
<i>Biddulphia aurita</i>		+	+
<i>Bid. reticulata</i>	+	+	+
<i>Bererochea malleus</i>		+	
<i>Rhizosolenia alata</i> forma <i>genuina</i>	+	+	
<i>Rh. setigera</i>	+		
<i>Rh. Faerøensis</i>	+	+	+
<i>Pleurosigma</i> sp.	+	+	+
<i>Nitzschia seriata</i>	+	+	+

The number of species was greater in the middle and mouth parts than in the inmost part of the harbour (Table 5).

Table 5. Number of species occurring at each part.

Species number	Mouth part	Middle part	Inmost part	Total species
(Z)	18	17	16	22
(P)	24	26	20	30
Total	42	43	36	52